



UNINTENDED PREGNANCY IS A SIGNIFICANT HEALTH

DESPITE WIDESPREAD CONTRACEPTION USE IN AUSTRALIA, UNINTENDED PREGNANCIES STILL OCCUR.

Inconsistent contraceptive use plays a major role in putting women at risk of unintended pregnancy. Sixty percent of Australian women who have had an unintended pregnancy were using at least one form of contraception, with the oral contraceptive pill the form most frequently cited (43%), followed by the condom (22%).¹ Failure of contraception with oral contraceptive pills is largely attributable to the requirement for daily pill-taking.

SOME CONTRACEPTIVE METHODS ARE MORE EFFECTIVE THAN OTHERS.

A key way to reduce unintended pregnancy is to use more effective, less user-dependent methods of contraception, such as the long-acting reversible contraceptive (LARC) methods (implant/IUDs).*

ONCE CHOSEN, WOMEN OF ALL REPRODUCTIVE AGES REPORT HIGH LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH LARC METHODS.

Misinformation about LARC methods is a major barrier to women choosing them (e.g. the availability of different types of contraception, how LARC methods work, the perceived lack of suitability for young women or nulliparous women, changes to bleeding patterns with LARCs, and the reversibility of their activity).¹⁵

However, when women are provided with comprehensive, accurate, unbiased counselling, LARC methods are preferred and have been shown to have the highest rates of satisfaction and 12-month continuation compared with other combined hormonal methods (e.g. oral contraceptive pill, vaginal ring).¹⁶

THE USE OF LARC METHODS IS WIDELY SUPPORTED, IN AUSTRALIA AND INTERNATIONALLY.

Clinical guidelines, key opinion leaders and peak bodies, in Australia and internationally, recommend increasing the use of LARC methods as the most effective reversible contraceptive, within the context of informed choice.¹⁰⁻¹³ The use of LARC methods is supported as a public health priority.¹⁴

THERE ARE ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO SUPPORTING INCREASED ACCESS TO LARC METHODS.

There is international evidence that LARC methods are more cost effective (to the health system) than oral contraceptives and male condoms, as typically used, and this is not sensitive to modest changes in discontinuation rates, failure rates, duration and frequency of follow-up consultations, and/or ingredient costs.¹⁷



UPTAKE TO LARC METHODS REMAINS LOW IN AUSTRALIA RELATIVE TO COMPARABLE COUNTRIES. REDUCING UNINTENDED PREGNANCY THROUGH INCREASED ACCESS TO LARC METHODS REQUIRES FOCUS ON HEALTH SYSTEM ENABLERS.

KEY BARRIERS TO EQUITABLE ACCESS TO EVIDENCE-BASED CONTRACEPTIVE MANAGEMENT IN AUSTRALIA INVOLVE:

CONTRACEPTIVE KNOWLEDGE

Misconceptions persist around the use of LARC methods, for both health professionals and women. These may relate to such concerns as their appropriateness in certain populations (e.g. outdated beliefs that 'IUDs shouldn't be used in nulliparous women' or young women, 'IUDs shouldn't be used post-delivery', or 'LARCs shouldn't be used in populations at high risk of sexually transmissible infections') or the management of adverse effects (e.g. changed menstruation patterns).¹⁵

There is no 'gold standard' clinical practice guideline on contraceptive management that is applied across all health professions and practice environments, that is endorsed by all relevant bodies, and that is free and easy to access. Commonly-used reference sources may not present information in a manner or with sufficient detail to guide health professionals in dispelling myths about LARC methods, managing adverse effects (e.g. changes to bleeding patterns) and accurately supporting women's informed choice for contraception.

Consumer information may be too generic and not directed to, or resonate with all women and in particular vulnerable groups. There is a lack of

information designed for specific audiences, such as for those with low literacy and low health literacy, those on low incomes, those from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds (e.g. refugee, asylum seekers, migrants), Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, women of varying ages (12 to 55 years), women with specific medical conditions (e.g. cardiac disease, diabetes), people living with disabilities, homeless people, men, those experiencing domestic violence, those in care and protection and justice services.¹⁸

FUNDING MODELS, SERVICE MODELS AND PATHWAYS OF CARE

LARC methods can be accessed through general practices, family planning and some sexual health services, and abortion services. They can also be accessed through midwifery services (if the midwife has appropriate endorsement), gynaecology services (although these may be private and therefore costly), and some hospital-run contraceptive clinics (although these may be difficult to get into due to long waiting lists).

Medicare and Practice Nurse Incentive Programme payments are inadequate to cover the costs of insertion of LARC devices (in particular for IUDs); there may be 'gap' fees. The need for patients to contribute high fees upfront, relative to less effective

forms of contraception, impacts on equitable access. Services may also introduce models of practice that require a multiple number of patient consultations (e.g. three visits), which are not always evidence-based, to off-set the overall costs of insertion provision. However, this shifts costs (both financial and in time) to the patient.^{18,19}

Further, MBS items associated with insertion and removal of LARC devices are restricted to GPs, whereas trained registered nurses, midwives and nurse practitioners can also competently perform these procedures. While services provided by eligible nurse practitioners and eligible midwives (particularly those in private practice) are able to prescribe and can attract a Medicare benefit, the majority of nurses and midwives are not currently able to work to their full scope of practice, impacting the efficiency with which services can be offered and potentially the availability of these services, particularly in rural and remote locations.¹⁸

In the hospital setting, women may be referred outside the hospital for insertion of LARC methods in a primary care setting, shifting the cost of the medicine and insertion to the PBS/MBS. Timely access to services may not be available and additional upfront costs are imposed on the patient. This can prevent women from pursuing insertion,¹⁸ and further risk of unintended pregnancy in the interim period.

DISTRIBUTION OF A SKILLED WORKFORCE

A lack of familiarity among health professionals with the provision of LARC methods can influence the advice given to women and their availability for insertion. A lack of training in the area, a lack of follow-up support (e.g. supervision, mentoring), and needing to undertake a sufficient volume of insertions to maintain skills have been identified by health professionals as barriers, particularly in regional/rural areas. Without adequate numbers of health professionals in regional/rural primary care confident in providing LARC methods, women are faced with the need to attend specialists, which can increase wait times, travel and costs.¹⁵

AUSTRALIAN DATA

Data to inform policy and practice changes is currently drawn from the PBS (reimbursed medicines only, no data from private market; copper IUDs are classed as medical devices and so not included in data), the MBS (only procedures where benefits are claimed; no data from public hospital or private clinics), surveys and quantitative studies. There are no reliable, routinely collected data on contraceptive use in Australia, nor on the outcomes of unintended pregnancies (e.g. abortion rates), to inform policy and practice changes.¹⁹

GOALS:

WOMEN ARE SUPPORTED TO MAKE AN INFORMED CHOICE ABOUT CONTRACEPTION.

VALUE IN CONTRACEPTIVE CARE IS ACHIEVED.

DATA AND RESEARCH INFORMS CONTRACEPTIVE POLICY AND PRACTICE.



GOALS	PRIORITIES 2017	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION	
WOMEN ARE SUPPORTED TO MAKE AN INFORMED CHOICE ABOUT CONTRACEPTION	<p>Secondary students:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Curriculum²⁰ reflects an evidence-informed approach to contraceptive management. There is an alignment of education provided with the National HPV Vaccination Programme.²¹ 	<p>Networks of excellence are established and promoted to support public and independent schools with delivering developmentally appropriate reproductive and sexual health education.</p> <p>Education about contraceptive use is provided to all males and females aged 12–13 years in conjunction with the National HPV Vaccination Programme.²¹</p>	<p>State/territory Departments of Education and the Australian Council for Health, Physical Education and Recreation (ACHPER)²² to lead work in this area.</p> <p>The Commonwealth Department of Health to lead work in this area.</p>
	<p>Consumers across the lifespan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Awareness is raised around unintended pregnancy, effective prevention available and methods of access. The information needs of specific audiences is addressed, in particular those of vulnerable groups. 	<p>There is a public health campaign, to include targeted and accessible information for vulnerable groups who have specific information needs.</p> <p>The Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care Question Builder²³ is adapted for contraceptive care.</p>	<p>The Commonwealth Department of Health to lead work in this area.</p>
	<p>Health professionals:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> National contraceptive management guidelines are developed for Australia, which are applicable and accessible across all health professionals and practice settings. Localised health pathways for access to LARC are in place and promoted to health professionals and consumer. 	<p>Guideline development is guided by a group of experts from relevant professional colleges, associations, health services and peak bodies in this area.</p> <p>Free online access to the guidelines is provided to all health professionals.</p> <p>Information in the guidelines is translated for specific professions and services through education and targeted messaging, and referenced in Health Pathways (or equivalent).</p>	<p>The Commonwealth Department of Health to provide funding for guideline development.</p> <p>Health professional colleges and associations, health services and other bodies (e.g. PHNs) to lead work for their respective professions and services.</p>

*Lead agencies have been identified, with the expectation that a collaborative approach is pursued involving all levels of government across the health, social and education sectors, consumers, health professionals and professional colleges and associations, health services and other stakeholders involved with women's health, as appropriate.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The development of this Consensus Statement was facilitated by the Australian Healthcare and Hospitals Association (AHHA) under the direction of a Steering

1. Marie Stopes International Australia. Real choices: women, contraception and unplanned pregnancy. Sydney: MSIA; 2008.
2. Rowe H, et al. Prevalence and distribution of unintended pregnancy: the Understanding Fertility Management in Australia National Survey. Aust NZ J Public Health 2016;40:104-9.
3. Grayson N, et al. Use of Routinely Collected National Data Sets for Reporting on Induced Abortion in Australia. Sydney: Australian Institution of Health and Welfare National Perinatal Statistics Unit; 2005.
4. Gipson J, et al. The effects of unintended pregnancy on infant, child and parental health: a review of the literature. Studies in Family Planning 2008;39(1):18-38.
5. Logan C, et al. The consequences of unintended childbearing – a white paper. Child Trends; 2007.
6. Soneld A, et al. The social and economic benefits of women's ability to determine whether and when to have children. New York: Guttmacher Institute; 2013.
7. National Museum of Australia. Defining moments in Australian history – the pill. At: www.nma.gov.au/online_features/defining_moments/featured/the_pill; accessed 20 March 2017.
8. Richters J, et al. Contraceptive practices among women: the second Australian study of health and relationships. Contraception 2016;94(5):548-55.
9. Manchikanti Gomez A, et al. Women or LARC first? Reproductive autonomy and the promotion of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods. Perspectives on sexual and reproductive health 2014;46(3):171-5.
10. Long acting reversible contraception (LARC): Position statement. Family Planning Alliance Australia; 2014.
11. Long acting reversible contraception (C-Gyn 34). Melbourne: The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists; 2014.
12. American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 121: long-acting reversible contraception: implants and intrauterine devices. Obstet Gynecol 2011;118:184-196.
13. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Long-acting reversible contraception: Clinical guideline. London: RCOG Press; published 2005, last updated 2014.
14. Contraception policy. Canberra: Public Health Association of Australia; 2014.
15. Garrett C, et al. Understanding the low uptake of long-acting reversible contraception by young women in Australia: a qualitative study. BMC Women's Health 2015;15:72.
16. Peipert J, et al. Continuation and satisfaction of reversible contraception. Obstet Gynecol 2011;117(5):1105-13.
17. National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health. Long-acting reversible contraception: the effective and appropriate use of long-acting reversible contraception. London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; published 2005, last updated 2013.
18. A health system that supports contraceptive choice. Canberra: Australian Healthcare and Hospitals Association; 2016.
19. Mazza D, et al. Current barriers and potential strategies to increase the use of long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) to reduce the rate of unintended pregnancies in Australia: an expert roundtable discussion. Aust NZ J Obstet Gynaecol 2017;1-7.
20. Relationships and sexuality. Australian Curriculum. At: www.australiancurriculum.edu.au/Curriculum/FocusArea/ecf580d5-b334-4d39-bf1e-8fe22d187ee5
21. Human Papillomavirus (HPV). Australian Government Department of Health. At: www.immunise.health.gov.au/internet/immunise/publishing.nsf/Content/immunise-hpv
22. The Australian Council for Health, Physical Education and Recreation. At: <https://www.achper.org.au/>
23. Question Builder. At: <https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/questionbuilder/>
24. Medicare Benefits Schedule Review. At: www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/mbsreviewtaskforce
25. IHPA Work Program 2017-18. Draft for ndtable discussion. uTUnH1sAr. At: [https://www.nation.gov.au/media/image/2017/05/17/17-nualfor-ndtable-discussiSer-deviceAt\(www\)55coag\(.heacn-Counlth.gov\)74\(.or-ndtable-discussiOG-Pr\)1oj1oes/7.Natio-F\(ogew7\(-askfww\)5-Ma/interr\)17.nual334-\)JJ0-1.333-TSer-devihpv](https://www.nation.gov.au/media/image/2017/05/17/17-nualfor-ndtable-discussiSer-deviceAt(www)55coag(.heacn-Counlth.gov)74(.or-ndtable-discussiOG-Pr)1oj1oes/7.Natio-F(ogew7(-askfww)5-Ma/interr)17.nual334-)JJ0-1.333-TSer-devihpv)

